

PUBLIC VERSION  
UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C.

**In the Matter of**

**CERTAIN LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY  
MODULES AND PRODUCTS  
CONTAINING THE SAME, AND  
METHODS FOR MAKING THE SAME**

**Inv. No. 337-TA-702**

**ORDER NO. 3: INITIAL DETERMINATION TERMINATING INVESTIGATION  
ON THE BASIS OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT**

(February 26, 2010)

On February 12, 2010, complainant Sharp Corporation (“Sharp”) filed a motion to terminate the investigation on the basis of a settlement agreement. (Motion Docket No. 702-001.) The motion states that respondents Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc. and Samsung Semiconductor, Inc. (collectively “Samsung”) consent to the instant motion. On February 23, 2010, the Commission Investigative Staff (“Staff”) filed a response in support of the motion.

The Commission’s Rules provide that “[a]ny party may move at any time for an order to terminate an investigation in whole or in part as to any or all respondents on the basis of a settlement, a licensing or other agreement . . .” 19 C.F.R. § 210.21(a)(2); *see also Certain Organizer Racks And Products Containing Same*, Inv. No. 337-TA-466, Order No. 7 at 2, February 19, 2001.

In the instant investigation, the motion to terminate is based on a settlement agreement between Sharp and Samsung. In accordance with Commission Rule 210.21(b), the parties filed a

**PUBLIC VERSION**

public version of the settlement agreement, attached herein as Appendix A. The motion further states, consistent with Commission Rule 210.21(b)(1), that there are no other agreements, written or oral, express or implied, between Sharp and Samsung concerning the subject matter of this investigation. (Motion at 1.) The settlement agreement appears to resolve the dispute between Sharp and Samsung.

Commission Rule 210.50(b)(2) provides that in the case of a proposed termination by settlement agreement or consent order, the parties may file statements regarding the impact of the proposed termination on the public interest, and the administrative law judge may hear argument, although no discovery may be compelled, with respect to issues relating solely to the public interest. 19 C.F.R. § 210.50(b)(2). In any initial determination terminating an investigation by settlement agreement or consent order, the administrative law judge is directed to consider and make appropriate findings regarding the effect of the proposed settlement on the public health and welfare, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, and United States consumers. *Id.*

In the motion, Sharp asserts that termination of this investigation is in the public interest. (Motion at 2.) Staff does not dispute this representation and finds that terminating the investigation would not be contrary to public interest. (Staff Resp. at 4.)


Based on the pleadings filed in connection with the motion to terminate the investigation, the ALJ finds that there is no indication that termination of this investigation based on the settlement agreement would have an adverse impact on the public interest. The ALJ finds that termination of this investigation does not impose any undue burdens on the public health and welfare, competitive conditions in the United States economy or United States consumers.

**PUBLIC VERSION**

Accordingly, it is the Initial Determination that the motion to terminate this investigation be GRANTED. This initial determination, along with supporting documentation, is hereby certified to the Commission.

Pursuant to 19 C.F.R. § 210.42(h), this Initial Determination shall become the determination of the Commission unless a party files a petition for review of the Initial Determination pursuant to 19 C.F.R. § 210.43(a), or the Commission, pursuant to 19 C.F.R. § 210.44, orders, on its own motion, a review of the Initial Determination or certain issues herein.

**SO ORDERED.**



Theodore R. Essex  
Administrative Law Judge

# APPENDIX A

TRE-2/13/10

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C.

Before The Honorable Theodore R. Essex  
Administrative Law Judge

In the Matter of

CERTAIN LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY  
DEVICES AND PRODUCTS CONTAINING  
THE SAME

Inv. No. 337-TA-702

MOTION TO TERMINATE INVESTIGATION

Complainant Sharp Corporation (“Sharp”) respectfully moves pursuant to 19 C.F.R. § 210.21(a)(2) and (b) to terminate this Investigation based upon settlement and licensing agreements that will resolve all outstanding patent disputes and related actions between Sharp and Respondents, Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and Samsung Semiconductor, Inc. (collectively, “Samsung”).

Sharp and Samsung have reached an agreement to settle this Investigation as detailed in the attached Settlement Agreement. (See Ex. A.) Sharp and Samsung have also entered into a Patent Cross-Licensing Agreement. (See Ex. B.) In accordance with 19 C.F.R. § 210.21(b)(1), exhibits A and B are redacted, non-confidential versions of the Settlement and Licensing Agreements. Aside from the Settlement Agreement and Patent Cross-Licensing Agreement, there are no other agreements, written or oral, express or implied between the parties concerning the subject matter of the Investigation.

Counsel for Sharp contacted counsel for Samsung regarding its position on this motion. Counsel for Samsung has consented to this motion for termination. Counsel for Sharp also


contacted the Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) regarding its position on this motion. OUII indicated that it will take a position on the motion after reviewing the papers.

In view of the attached Agreements, there no longer exists a basis upon which to continue this Investigation.

Furthermore, the termination of this Investigation on the basis of the attached Agreements poses no threat to the public interest, as detailed in the attached Settlement Agreement. In these circumstances, Commission Rule 210.21 authorizes the Administrative Law Judge to terminate an investigation. It is in the interest of the public and administrative economy to grant this motion. Commission policy and the public interest generally favor settlements, which preserve resources for both the Commission and the private parties, and termination based on a settlement agreement is routinely granted. *See, e.g., Certain Safety Eyewear and Components Thereof*, Inv. No. 337-TA-433, Order No. 37 at 2 (November 3, 2000); *Certain Synchronous Dynamic Random Access Memory Devices, Microprocessors, and Products Containing Same*, Inv. No. 337-TA-431, Order No. 11 at 2 (July 13, 2000); *Certain Integrated Circuit Chipsets and Products Containing Same*, Inv. No. 337-TA-428, Order No. 16 at 5 (August 22, 2000); *Certain Telephonic Digital Added Mainline Systems Components Thereof, and Products Containing Same*, No. 337-TA-400, 1998 ITC LEXIS 31 (Feb. 12, 1998); *Certain Screen Printing Machines, Vision Alignment Devices Used Therein, and Component Parts Thereof*, No. 337-TA-394, 1997 ITC LEXIS 280 (Oct. 6, 1997).

For the foregoing reasons, Sharp respectfully requests that the Administrative Law Judge to grant this Motion to Terminate the Investigation on the basis of the attached Settlement Agreement and Patent Cross-Licensing Agreement.

Respectfully submitted,



---

Alan Cope Johnston  
G. Brian Busey  
Mark E. Ungerman  
Kristin L. Yohannan  
Michael W. Maas  
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP  
2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20006  
Tel.: (202) 887-1500  
Fax: (202) 887-0168

Barry Bretschneider  
John A. Trocki III  
Michael E. Anderson  
Adam Keser  
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP  
1650 Tysons Boulevard, Suite 400  
McLean, Virginia 22102  
Tel.: (703) 760-7700  
Fax: (202) 912-2311

Updeep S. Gill  
Joseph A. Rhoa  
Joseph S. Presta  
NIXON & VANDERHYE  
901 North Glebe Road  
Arlington, Virginia 22203  
Tel: (703) 816-4000  
Fax: (703) 816-4100

*Counsel for Complainant Sharp Corporation*

Dated: February 12, 2010

**Public Version  
of Confidential  
Exhibit A**

## SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT (this "Agreement") is effective, dated as of this 1st day of January, 2010, by and between Sharp Corporation, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Japan with its principal place of business at 22-22 Nagaike-cho, Abeno-ku, Osaka 545-8522, Japan, (hereinafter referred to as "SHARP") on its own behalf and on behalf of its subsidiaries and affiliates, and Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the Republic of Korea with its principal place of business at Samsung Electronics Bldg. 1320-10, Seocho 2-dong, Seocho-gu, Seoul 137-857, Korea (hereinafter referred to as "SAMSUNG"), on its own behalf and on behalf of its subsidiaries and affiliates. Each of SHARP and SAMSUNG is called a "Party" and both of them are called the "Parties".

### RECITALS

SHARP and SAMSUNG each filed various patent infringement lawsuits against the other Party and/or its relevant subsidiaries and affiliates based upon certain of their respective patents in the U.S., Japan, Korea, Germany and the Netherlands, and the other Party and its relevant subsidiaries and affiliates filed counterclaims, more specifically summarized in Part 1 of each of Schedule 2 through 6 (collectively, "Infringement Lawsuits").


SHARP initiated preliminary injunction cases against SAMSUNG's Japanese subsidiary before the Tokyo District Court and against SAMSUNG before the Seoul Central District Court, and SAMSUNG initiated preliminary injunction cases against SHARP before the Tokyo District Court, more specifically summarized in Part 2 of each of Schedule 3 and 4 (collectively, "Preliminary Injunction Cases").

SHARP and SAMSUNG each initiated administrative investigations under Section 337 of the United States Tariff Act of 1930 as amended, with respect to certain of their respective U.S. patents before the United States International Trade Commission ("ITC"), more specifically summarized in Part 2 of Schedule 2 (collectively, the "ITC Proceedings"). SHARP and SAMSUNG have each appealed some of the decisions of the International Trade Commission in certain of these investigations to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, more specifically summarized in Part 3 of Schedule 2 (collectively, the "Appeals").

SHARP and SAMSUNG each filed patent invalidation proceedings with respect to the patents asserted by the other Party in the Infringement Lawsuits in Korea before the Korean Intellectual Property Office ("KIPO"), more specifically outlined in Part 3 and 4 of Schedule 4, and SHARP and SAMSUNG's German subsidiary each filed patent invalidation proceedings with respect to the patents asserted by the other Party in the Infringement Lawsuits in Germany before the German Federal Patent Court, and the European Patent Office ("EPO") respectively, more specifically summarized in Parts 2 and 3 of Schedule 5 and SHARP filed patent invalidation proceedings with respect to the patents asserted by SAMSUNG in the Infringement Lawsuits in Japan before the Japanese Patent Office ("JPO"), more specifically outlined in Part 3 of Schedule 3 (collectively, the "Invalidation Proceedings").

The Parties desire to enter into this Agreement for settling and resolving all of the disputes relating to the matters alleged by the Parties in the Infringement Lawsuits, the Preliminary Injunction Cases, the ITC Proceedings and the Invalidation Proceedings.


NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants and agreements set forth herein, and for other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the Parties agree as follows:




195 4-7c




1945 8/16



1995 8-12




KYS 8-1c



197 174

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, each of the Parties has caused this Agreement to be executed by its duly authorized officer as of the date and year first above written.

Sharp Corporation

By:   
Name: Yoshiaki Ibuschi  
Title: Executive Vice President  
Date: Feb. 5, 2010

Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.

By: W. G. Chang  
Name: WON KIECHANG  
Title: PRESIDENT / LCD BUSINESS  
Date: Feb. 5, 2010

Schedule 1  
Patent Cross License Agreement

1975 8-12

Schedule 2  
Pending Lawsuits and Proceedings in the U.S.

1. Pending Lawsuits in the U.S.

US District Court	Plaintiffs	Defendants	Initiation Date	US Patents in suit
Eastern District of Texas Marshall Division 2-07-CV-330	SHARP	SAMSUNG, Samsung Electronics America, Samsung Telecommunications America	August 6, 2007	USP 4649383 USP 5760855 USP 6052162 USP 7027024 USP 7057689
	Counterclaim Plaintiffs: SAMSUNG, Samsung Electronics America, Samsung Telecommunications America	Counterclaim Defendants: SHARP Sharp Electronics Corporation	November 7, 2007, January 8, 2010	Counterclaim USP 5731856 USP 5923310 USP 6639589 USP 7050134 USP 7280177
District of Delaware 1:07-843-SLR	SAMSUNG	SHARP, Sharp Electronics Corporation, Sharp Electronics Manufacturing Company of America	December 21, 2007	USP 6771344 USP 6937311 USP 7193666 USP 7295196
	Counterclaim Plaintiffs: SHARP, Sharp Electronics, Sharp Electronics Manufacturing Company of America	Counterclaim Defendants: SAMSUNG, Samsung Electronics America, Samsung Semiconductor	January 30, 2008	Counterclaim USP 6879364 USP 6952192 USP 7304703 USP 7304626
District of Delaware 1:09-cv-00920-SLR	SAMSUNG	SHARP, Sharp Electronics, Sharp Electronics Manufacturing Company of America	December 2, 2009	USP 5844533 USP 6888585 USP 7436479
	Counterclaim Plaintiffs: SHARP Sharp Electronics,	Counterclaim Defendants: SAMSUNG, Samsung Electronics	January 12, 2010	USP 7283192 USP 5670994 USP 7408588 USP 6141075 USP 7379140

185 JK

	Sharp Electronics Manufacturing Company of America	America, Samsung Semiconductor		
--	--	--------------------------------	--	--

2. Pending ITC Investigations

Investigation Nos.	Complainant	Respondents	Initiation Date	US Patents in suit
337-TA-631	SAMSUNG	SHARP, Sharp Electronics, Sharp Electronics Manufacturing Company of America	December 21, 2007	USP 6771344 USP 6937311 USP 7193666 USP 7295196
337-TA-634	SHARP	SAMSUNG, Samsung Electronics America, Samsung Semiconductor	January 30, 2008	USP 6879364 USP 6952192 USP 7304703 USP 7304626
337-TA-631 Enforcement Proceeding	SAMSUNG	SHARP, Sharp Electronics, Sharp Electronics Manufacturing Company of America	December 1, 2009	USP 6771344
337-TA-699	SAMSUNG	SHARP, Sharp Electronics, Sharp Electronics Manufacturing Company of America	December 1, 2009	USP 6844533 USP 6888585 USP 7436479
337-TA-	SHARP	SAMSUNG, Samsung Electronics America, Samsung Semiconductor	Complaint filed January 8, 2010 (not yet instituted)	USP 6670994 USP 6141075 USP 7283192 USP 7379140 USP 7408588

3. Pending Cases in the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

Case No.	Appellant	Appellee	Intervenor	Initiation Date	US Patents in suit
2009-1514	SAMSUNG	US ITC	SHARP, Sharp Electronics Corp., Sharp Electronics Manufacturing Company of America, Inc.	August 21, 2009	USP 6937311

1085 4-K

2009-1520	SHARP, Sharp Electronics Corp., Sharp Electronics Manufacturing Company of America, Inc.	US ITC	SAMSUNG	August 25, 2009	USP 6771344
2010-1154	SAMSUNG, Samsung Electronics America, Inc. Samsung Semiconductor, Inc.	US ITC	SHARP	January 13, 2010	USP 6879864 USP 6962192 USP 7304703 USP 7304626

175 g/r

Schedule 3  
Pending Lawsuits and Proceedings in Japan

1. Pending Lawsuit on merits in Japan

Court	Plaintiffs	Defendants	Initiation Date	Patents in suit
Tokyo District Court Heisei20(Wa) 14530	SHARP	Samsung Japan	May 30, 2008	JPP3744714 JPP3872798 JPP3901721
Tokyo District Court Heisei20(Wa) 14858	SAMSUNG	SHARP	June 2, 2008	JPP3625598
Tokyo District Court Heisei20(Wa) 14859	SAMSUNG	SHARP	June 2, 2008	JPP3976770

2. Pending Preliminary Injunction in Japan

Court	Plaintiffs	Defendants	Initiation Date	Patents in suit
Tokyo District Court Heisei21(Yo) 22018	SAMSUNG	SHARP	March 27, 2009	JPP3625598
Tokyo District Court Heisei21(Yo) 22024	SAMSUNG	SHARP	April 17, 2009	JPP3625598
Tokyo District Court Heisei21(Yo) 22025	SHARP	Samsung Japan	April 20, 2009	JPP3872798
Tokyo District Court Heisei21(Yo) 22026	SHARP	Samsung Japan	April 21, 2009	JPP3901721
Tokyo District Court Heisei21(Yo) 22077	SAMSUNG	SHARP	November 17, 2009	JPP3625598

3. Pending Proceedings before the JPO

Case Number	Plaintiffs	Defendants	Initiation Date	Patents in suit
Invalidation 2009-800112	SHARP	SAMSUNG	May 29, 2009	JPP3625598
Invalidation 2009-800185	SHARP	SAMSUNG	August 28, 2009	JPP3976770

Schedule 4  
Pending Lawsuits and Proceedings in Korea

1. Pending Lawsuit on Merits

Court	Plaintiffs	Defendants	Initiation Date	Patents in suit
Seoul Central District Court (2007 Gahap 109331)	SHARP	SAMSUNG	December 12, 2007	KRP371939 KRP740570 KRP776988
Seoul Central District Court (2008 Gahap 101693)	SAMSUNG	Sharp Electronics Incorporated of Korea	October 16, 2008	KRP238206 KRP283511

2. Pending Preliminary Injunction

Court	Plaintiffs	Defendants	Initiation Date	Patents in suit
Seoul Central District Court (2007 Kahap 3859)	SHARP	SAMSUNG	December 12, 2007	KRP371939 KRP740570 KRP776988

3. Case filed before the KIPO (Pending in Patent Court)

Case Number	Plaintiffs	Defendants	Initiation Date	Patents in suit
Invalidation (2008 Dang 2105)	SAMSUNG	SHARP	July 18, 2008	KRP371939
Invalidation (2008 Dang 2106)	SAMSUNG	SHARP	July 18, 2008	KRP740570
Invalidation (2008 Dang 2107)	SAMSUNG	SHARP	July 18, 2008	KRP776988

4. Pending Proceedings before the KIPO

Case Number	Plaintiffs	Defendants	Initiation Date	Patents in suit
Invalidation (2009 Dang 827)	SHARP	SAMSUNG	April 8, 2009	KRP238206
Invalidation (2009 Dang 828)	SHARP	SAMSUNG	April 8, 2009	KRP283511

Schedule 5  
Pending Lawsuits and Proceedings in Germany

1. Pending Lawsuit on Merits

Court	Plaintiffs	Defendants	Initiation Date	Patents in suit
Mannheim District Court (7 O 191/08)	SHARP	Samsung Electronics GmbH	July 14, 2008	EP0987582 (DE69930215)
Mannheim District Court (7 O 202/08)	SAMSUNG	Sharp Electronics (Europe) GmbH	July 29, 2008	EP0775931 (DE69635239)
Mannheim District Court (7 O 58/09)	SHARP	Samsung Electronics GmbH	February 12, 2009	EP1870767 (DE69930215)

2. Pending Proceeding in FPC

Court	Plaintiffs	Defendants	Initiation Date	Patents in suit
German Federal Patent Court (2 Ni 41/08 (EU))	SHARP	SAMSUNG	November 07, 2008	EP0775931 (DE69635239)
German Federal Patent Court (2 Ni 38/08 (EU))	Samsung Electronics GmbH	SHARP	October 23, 2008	EP0987582 (DE69930215)

3. Pending Proceeding before the EPO

Case Number	Plaintiffs	Defendants	Initiation Date	Patents in suit
European Patent Office (Application no. 07113497.7)	Samsung Electronics GmbH	SHARP	June 15, 2009	EP1870767

1545 g-12

Schedule 6  
Pending Lawsuits in the Netherlands

1. Pending Lawsuit on Merits

Court	Plaintiffs	Defendants	Initiation Date	Patents in suit
District Court of Hague (2008/2775)	SHARP	Samsung Electronics Benelux B.V.,  Samsung Electronics Europe Logistics B.V.	July 14, 2008	EP0987582
	Counterclaim Plaintiffs:  Samsung Electronics Benelux B.V.,  Samsung Electronics Europe Logistics B.V.	Counterclaim Defendants:  SHARP		
Court of Appeal The Hague	Appellants:  Samsung Electronics Benelux B.V.,  Samsung Electronics Europe Logistics B.V.	SHARP	On 18 December 2009 Samsung appealed the decision of the District Court The Hague. Sharp is summoned to appear on 1 June, 2010.	EP0987582

145 y-k

**Public Version  
of Confidential  
Exhibit B**

Confidential

## PATENT CROSS LICENSE AGREEMENT

This PATENT CROSS LICENSE AGREEMENT ("Agreement") is effective, dated as of this 1st day of January, 2010 (the "Effective Date"), by and between Sharp Corporation, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Japan with its principal place of business at 22-22 Nagaike-cho, Abeno-ku, Osaka 545-8522, Japan (hereinafter referred to as "SHARP"), and Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the Republic of Korea with its principal place of business at Samsung Electronics Bldg. 1320-10, Seocho 2-dong Seocho-gu, Seoul 137-857, Korea (hereinafter referred to as "SAMSUNG").


### RECITALS

SHARP and SAMSUNG each own and have rights under various patents, utility models, certificates of inventorship and applications thereof with respect to liquid crystal display panels and modules in various countries of the world.


SHARP and SAMSUNG each have manufactured and sold, and intend to continue manufacturing and selling, such liquid crystal display panels and modules, and wish to obtain from the other Party licenses under certain various patents, utility models, certificates of inventorship and applications therefor owned by the other Party.

SHARP and SAMSUNG each are willing to grant such licenses to the other party hereto subject to the terms and conditions hereinafter contained.


NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants and agreements set forth herein, and for other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the Parties agree as follows:




KYS Y.K




10/3 J-R




1975 B-K




1995 B-K




1975 #R




1075 8/2



195 BK




1995 8-12




1995 HX




1995 file




195 8K




KYS JK



195 8-12



1995 HK



1995 8-12



IN WITNESS WHEREOF, each of the Parties has caused this Agreement to be executed by its duly authorized representative as of the date and year first above written.


Sharp Corporation

By: *J. Duchi*  
Name: *Yoshiaki Zuchi*  
Title: *Executive Vice President*  
Date: *Feb. 5, 2010*


Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.

By: *W. K. Chang*  
Name: *WON KIE CHANG*  
Title: *PRESIDENT / LCA BUSINESS*  
Date: *Feb. 5, 2010.*


Schedule A



1975 J-K




1995 1/2



3.


1995 8/10



**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a copy of (PUBLIC VERSION) **JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE INVESTIGATION** has been served on February 12, 2010 as indicated, on the following:

Marilyn R. Abbott Secretary <b>U.S. International Trade Commission</b> 500 E. Street, S.W., Room 112A Washington, DC 20436	<input type="checkbox"/> Via First Class Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Via Hand Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Via Overnight Courier <input type="checkbox"/> Via Facsimile <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Via Electronic Delivery</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Not Served
The Honorable Theodore R. Essex <b>U.S. International Trade Commission</b> 500 E Street, S.W., Room 317 Washington, D.C. 20436 <i>2 copies</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Via First Class Mail <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Via Hand Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Via Overnight Courier <input type="checkbox"/> Via Facsimile <input type="checkbox"/> Via Electronic Mail
Tamara Lee, Esq. Administrative Law Judge Attorney-Advisor <b>U.S. International Trade Commission</b> 500 E Street, S.W., Room 317 Washington, DC 20436 <a href="mailto:Tamara.Lee@usitc.gov">Tamara.Lee@usitc.gov</a>	<input type="checkbox"/> Via First Class Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Via Hand Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Via Overnight Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Via Facsimile <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Via Electronic Mail
Anne M. Goalwin, Esq. Office of Unfair Import Investigations <b>U.S. International Trade Commission</b> 500 E Street, S.W., Room 401-B Washington, DC 20436	<input type="checkbox"/> Via First Class Mail <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Via Hand Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Via Overnight Courier <input type="checkbox"/> Via Facsimile <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Via Electronic Mail
Ruffin B. Cordell, Esq. Joseph V. Colaianni, Jr., Esq. Richard A. Sterba, Esq. <b>FISH &amp; RICHARDSON P.C.</b> 1425 K Street, NW, Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20005  <i>Attorneys for Respondents</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Via First Class Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Via Hand Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Via Overnight Courier <input type="checkbox"/> Via Facsimile <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Via Electronic Mail


  
Stephanie Lenkey  
Paralegal

**CERTAIN LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY MODULES  
AND PRODUCTS CONTAINING SAME**

Inv. No. 337-TA-702

**PUBLIC CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Marilyn R. Abbott, hereby certify that the attached **ORDER NO. 3: INITIAL DETERMINATION TERMINATING INVESTIGATION ON THE BASIS OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT** has been served by hand upon, the Commission Investigative Attorney, **Anne Goalwin**, and the following parties as indicated on **February 26, 2010**.

  
Marilyn R. Abbott, Secretary *JRP*  
U.S. International Trade Commission  
500 E Street, SW, Room 112A  
Washington, D.C. 20436

**On Behalf of Complainant Sharp Corporation:**

Alan Cope Johnston, Esq.  
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP  
2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20006

( ) Via Hand Delivery  
(  ) Via Overnight Mail  
( ) Via First Class Mail  
( ) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**On Behalf of Respondents Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc. and Samsung Semiconductor, Inc.:**

Joseph V. Colaianni, Jr.  
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.  
1425 K Street N.W., 11th Floor  
Washington, D.C. 20005

( ) Via Hand Delivery  
(  ) Via Overnight Mail  
( ) Via First Class Mail  
( ) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**CERTAIN LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY MODULES  
AND PRODUCTS CONTAINING SAME**

**Inv. No. 337-TA-702**

**PUBLIC CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE - PAGE 2**

**Public Mailing List:**

Heather Hall  
**LEXIS - NEXIS**  
9443 Springboro Pike  
Miamisburg, OH 45342

( ) Via Hand Delivery  
(  ) Via Overnight Mail  
( ) Via First Class Mail  
( ) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Kenneth Clair  
**THOMSON WEST**  
1100 Thirteen Street, NW, Suite 200  
Washington, D.C. 20005

( ) Via Hand Delivery  
(  ) Via Overnight Mail  
( ) Via First Class Mail  
( ) Other: \_\_\_\_\_